

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/781,035	MADARASZ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Tiffany A. Fetzner	2859	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Amended

(1) Tiffany A. Fetzner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Attorney David P. Krivoshik Reg. No. 39,258.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 January 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*See Continuation Sheet*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of claims discussed: rejected claims 1-14 and newly added claims 15-22 concerning the amendments of the november 14th 2005 amendment and response, and the need to maintain proper grammatical antecedence throughout the claims, as well as eliminate the intended use concerns pf the apparatus / system / device claims..

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's representative to request permission for an examiner's amendment to the pending claims in order to resolve and rectify the grammatical and antecedent issues remaining in applicant's claims from the November 14th 2005 amendment and response. The applicant's attorney approved the proposed examiner's amendment of claims 1-14. The examiner also noted that new claims 15-22 from the November 14th 2005 response would be amended to indicate magnetic 'resonance" imaging data in order to keep antecedence throughout the claim. The examiner was thanked for her time and assistance in moving the application forward towards allowance..